

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,438	04/20/2004	Jun Koyama	0756-7297	2734
31780	7590 10/02/2006		EXAM	INER
ERIC ROBINSON			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
PMB 955 21010 SOUTI	HBANK ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	FALLS, VA 20165		2629	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/827,438	KOYAMA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ine 2006 and 13 July 2006		
	<u></u>	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
ت_(ت	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayle, 1905 C.D. 11, 40	33 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
٥)۵	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · ·	• •	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
''/	The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The following office action is in response to the amendments filed June 23, 2006 and July 13, 2006. Claims 1-46 are pending. Claims 1-32, 39-41 have been amended.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,184. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other as will be disclosed in the table below.

	Application 10/827,438	US Patent No. 6,549,184
Claim 1	A portable telephone	A semiconductor display
	comprising: a main body, an	device comprising:
	audio input portion, an audio	

Art Unit: 2629

output portion and a display device, said display device further comprising;

a source signal line side driving circuit; and

a gate signal line side driving circuit,

wherein said gate signal line
side driving circuit includes a
buffer circuit connected with
an output like from a shift
register circuit, said buffer
circuit having a plurality of
inverters,

wherein each of said inverters

comprises a plurality of n
channel thin film transistors

and a plurality of p-channel

a source signal line side
driving circuit; and

a gate signal line side driving circuit,

wherein said gate signal line side driving circuit includes a buffer circuit connected with an output line from a shift register circuit, said buffer circuit having a plurality of inverters,

wherein each of said inverters

comprises a plurality of n
channel thin film transistors

and a plurality of p-channel

Art Unit: 2629

thin film transistors, and	thin film transistors, and
wherein each of said plurality	wherein each of said plurality
of n-channel thin film	of n-channel thin film
transistors is connected in	transistors is connected
parallel with each other and	parallel with each other and
each of said plurality of p-	each of said plurality of p-
channel thin film transistors is	channel thin film transistors is
connected in parallel with	connected parallel with each
each other.	other.

Note the comparison above, claim 1 of the instant application 10/827,438 is not patentably distinct from claim 1 of US 6,549,184 because the claims are virtually the same with the exception of the beginning of the claim. The instant application's limitation of "A portable telephone comprising: a main body, an audio input portion, an audio output portion and a display device, said display device further comprising" is different from the beginning of claim of US 6,549,184 which discloses "A semiconductor display device". As shown from the table above, the invention is directed to a display device and what the display device comprises, not a portable telephone, a camera, a mobile computer, or a portable information terminal. Applicant is suggesting intended uses of the display device within a portable telephone, a camera, a mobile computer, and a portable information terminal. In regards to claim 1 of the instant application, it is inherent to one of ordinary skill in the art that a portable telephone comprises a main body, an

Art Unit: 2629

audio input portion (the portion for a user to speak into), and an audio output portion (the portion for a user to listen). Thus, these limitations do not constitute the claim to be patentably distinct from claim 1 of US Patent 6,549,184. With respect to claims 2-38 are rejected under similar reasons as discussed above and are not patentably distinct from claims 1-42 of US 6,549,184.

Dependent claims 39-46 are similarly rejected as not being patentably distinct from claims 1-42 of US 6,549,184 as they have similar subject matter as well.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 23, 2006 and July 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in the responses where the claim limitations of the instant application are patentably distinct from the US Patent 6,549,184 Koyama et al. With respect to claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, 25 and 29, Applicant argues where Koyama et al fail to disclose a portable telephone including a main body, an audio input portion, an audio output portion and a display device. With respect to claims 2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 26 and 30, Applicant argues where Koyama et al fail to disclose a camera including a main body, an image receiving portion and a display device. With respect to claims 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27 and 31, Applicant argues where Koyama et al fail to disclose a mobile computer including a main body, an operation switch and a display device. With respect to claims 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28 and 32, Applicant argues where Koyama et al fail to disclose a portable information terminal including a main body and a display device.

Examiner, respectfully, disagrees with the arguments above. The applicant's invention is directed to a display device and what the display device comprises, not a portable telephone, a camera, a mobile computer or a portable information terminal. Applicant is suggesting intended

Art Unit: 2629

uses of the display device within a portable telephone, a camera, a mobile computer, and a portable information terminal. In regards to claim 1 of the instant application, it is inherent to one of ordinary skill in the art that a portable telephone comprises a main body, an audio input portion (the portion for a user to speak into), and an audio output portion (the portion for a user to listen). Thus, these limitations do not constitute the claim to be patentably distinct from claim 1 of US Patent 6,549,184.

In the supplemental response, Applicant argues where "the claims of the present application are not a timewise extension of the invention as claimed in the Koyama '184 patent". Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. As discussed above, the claims differ only in intended use and are not patentably distinct.

As discussed above, the obvious double patenting rejection is maintained and made FINAL.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2629

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Srilakshmi K. Kumar Examiner Art Unit 2629

SKK September 19, 2006

> SUMATI LEFKOWITZ **SUPERVISORY PATENT EX**/

Page 7